INTE-UE 1013 - INTRODUCTION TO PEACE AND CONFLICT STUDIES

Fall 2019

Instructor: Professor Elisabeth King

Lecture time: Mondays and Wednesdays, 11-12:15pm

Location: 7 East 12th Street, rm 121

Office hours: by appointment via Google calendar Office location: 306W Kimball Hall (246 Greene St.)

E-mail: e.king@nyu.edu

CATALOG DESCRIPTION

How can we explain the many violent conflicts around the world today? What is the lived experience of people in conflict-affected contexts? What can international and local actors do to build peace? These are just some of the many questions that undergraduate students will tackle in this introduction to peace and conflict studies. Students will become familiar with theoretical perspectives, real-world examples, and analytical skills to better understand, critically evaluate, and respond to contemporary issues related to peace and conflict.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course introduces students to the field of peace and conflict studies in a global context. Students will become familiar with theoretical perspectives, real-world examples, and analytical skills to better understand, critically evaluate, and respond to contemporary issues related to peace and conflict. It is the anchor course for NYU's minor in Peace and Conflict Studies and can alternatively be taken as a stand-alone course.

The course draws principally from political science, but also from anthropology, education, history, psychology, and more. The course begins with a discussion of the causes of conflict. It then examines the consequences of conflict and efforts to build peace. Readings will include academic articles and chapters, news, and policy pieces, and first-hand accounts from perpetrators and victims; online videos will also be included. The course also provides students experience with hands-on tools. We will be fortunate to welcome a number of guest speakers, drawing on multi-disciplinary expertise from across the university and beyond.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

By the end of the course, students should be able to:

- Identify the main theoretical explanations of the causes of violent conflict, the principal consequences, and the range of peacebuilding responses;
- Analyze the causes and consequences of conflict, evaluating different points of view and framing their own;
- Apply various conflict analysis frameworks to assess and appreciate the complexity of issues such as genocide, terrorism, and violence;

- Critically evaluate historical and contemporary efforts to build peace including diplomacy, humanitarian aid, and economic development;
- Through guest speakers and simulations, experience and reflect upon some of the real-world challenges confronted by peacebuilders;
- Explain contemporary global issues and evaluate if and how academic work in the field illuminates these events:
- Demonstrate analytical thinking, reading, writing and speaking skills.

It is my hope that students will also leave the course with a heightened appetite and ability to engage in the world around them.

READINGS & RESOURCES

We will use NYU Classes as a framework for the course. As you will see below, in addition to readings, resources include videos, a video game, and other simulation material. All readings and resources will be available via the site. Given the real-world, quickly-changing subject matter we are studying, brief additional readings may be added a short notice. Guest speakers may also wish to add preparatory material in advance of their sessions. We may, occasionally, need to switch the order of classes to accommodate real-world opportunities and guest speaker availability.

REQUIREMENTS

All requirements will be further discussed in class.

- 1. PARTICIPATION (20%): The success of the class depends in large part on the willingness of students to carefully prepare by reading all assigned readings. Marks will be awarded to students on the basis of their participation. Please prepare for each session by carefully reading the assigned readings, highlighting key concepts and arguments, and noting questions and contentious issues. The readings and lectures are complementary, but they will not duplicate one another. Each class will proceed on the basis that students have read the required readings for that week and prior weeks.
- 2. MINI-ASSIGNMENTS (25%): There are five "mini-assignments" to be completed during and after class. Details will be provided on NYU Classes. These are:
 - a. Conflict-analysis tool, put to good use $-Due\ October\ 2^{nd}$ in class
 - b. Peace Maker Game: Completion and reflection Due October 28th prior to class
 - c. UN field test *Due November 4th prior to class*
 - d. Pathways to Peace Due November 25th prior to class
 - e. Simulation *Details TBA*
- 3. NEWS PRESENTATIONS (5%): All students are invited to present a very brief (3 minutes maximum) news update an article, news story, new report, survey, etc. that relates to one week's themes and/or readings. In your presentation, please introduce yourself, explain the source (i.e. title, NYTimes, date), the key argument and ideas, and how you think it relates to class. Post your news article to the NYU Classes forum. We

will randomly draw and assign dates during the first class. Please coordinate with your classmates presenting on the same day in order not to duplicate one another. Each student will be responsible for a minimum of one presentation.

- 4. POINT OF VIEW PAPERS (15%): You will write 2 short response papers over the course of the semester. For each paper, you must attend an event related to peace and/or conflict hosted by the university a talk, panel, film, etc. or an organization in New York City, such as the United Nations or the International Peace Institute. (I will post a non-exhaustive list to NYU classes). After attending, please write a 2-page (double-spaced) response that summarizes the content of the event (1 page) and considers if and how it relates to one or more assigned readings from the course (1 page). Your paper must include a thesis statement and a works cited. For one of the two papers, you may write about a talk by a guest speaker who visits our class. The first paper is due prior to class **October 21st**. The second paper is due prior to the final class, **December 11th**. Assignments are to be uploaded to NYU classes and passed through turnitin.com.
- 5. FINAL EXAM (35%): The exam will include short-answer and essay length questions and cover all of the themes and material from the course.

COURSE RULES AND POLICIES

Grading:

The grading scale is as follows. Additional details appear at the end of the syllabus.

A = 94-100

A = 90-93

B+ = 87-89

B = 84-86

B - 80 - 83

C + = 77-79

C = 74-76

D + = 67-69

D = 65-66

F = below 65

Late assignments: Barring serious illness or family emergency (both require documentation), *late* papers will be reduced by 1/3 of a grade for each 24-hour period for which they are turned in after the deadline. For example, a paper turned in one day late with a grade of B would be marked down to a B-. This is a strict policy. Exceptions are granted only in extreme circumstances and require written documentation. Examples of exceptional circumstances include a learning disability (documented by NYU in the form of a written letter from the Center for Students with Disabilities) or hospitalization. Changing topics, regions, countries of study for

an assignment; poor time management; and procrastination do not count as exceptional circumstances.

NYU Classes and email will be used to manage and coordinate the course. Much of the reading material and important course announcements will be posted electronically on NYU Classes. You are expected to check your email and the course page regularly to ensure you have access to this material and announcements. While we will endeavor to answer your weekday questions within 24 hours, we do not typically check email on the weekends.

<u>Special Accommodation</u>: Any student attending NYU who needs an accommodation due to a chronic, psychological, visual, mobility, and/or learning disability, or is Deaf or Hard of Hearing should register with the Moses Center for Students with Disabilities at (212) 998-4980, 240 Greene Street, <u>www.nyu.edu/csd</u>.

SEPTEMBER 4TH -- What have you gotten into?

We will review the syllabus and course expectations and begin to ask questions about peace and conflict studies.

SEPTEMBER 9TH -- What is Peace? What is Conflict? How do we measure them? What are the trends?

Goldstein, Joshua. 2012. *Winning the War on War: The Decline of Armed Conflict Worldwide*. New York: Plume, pp. 1-22.

Mac Ginty, Roger and Pamina Firchow. 2016. "Top-down and bottom-up narratives of peace conflict". *Politics*.

SEPTEMBER 11TH -- What causes inter-state conflict?

Frieden, Jeffry A., David A Lake & Kenneth A Schultz (eds). 2012. World Politics: Interests, Interactions, Institutions (Second Edition), pp. 88-129.

SEPTEMBER 16TH – The geopolitics of energy

Guest: Prof. Carolyn Kissane

Bio: https://wp.nyu.edu/sps-nyuglobalcitizen/full-time-faculty/carolyn-kissane/

Readings TBA

SEPTEMBER 18TH -- What causes intra-state conflict?

Beswick, Danielle and Paul Jackson, 2011. *Conflict, Security and Development: An Introduction*. London: Routledge. Chapter 3, pp. 40-53

Frieden, Jeffry A., David A Lake & Kenneth A Schultz (eds). 2012. World Politics: Interests, Interactions, Institutions (Second Edition), pp.237-253.

SEPTEMBER 23RD – Why do people participate in war?

Guest: Prof. Cyrus Samii

Bio: http://cyrussamii.com/?page_id=1915

Samii, Cyrus & Emily West. (2019, forthcoming). "Repressed Productive Potential and Revolt: Insights from an Insurgency in Burundi." *Political Science Research and Methods*.

*Note – this is an advanced quantitative political science reading. Work through the article the best you can and note the key argument. Do not worry about the appendix. We will discuss the article and today's topic, more generally, with our guest.

SEPTEMBER 25TH – Genocide: Spotlight on Rwanda

Hatzfeld, Jean. 2003. *Machete Season: The Killers in Rwanda Speak*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux (excerpts).

Stanton, Gregory. 1998. *The 8 Stages of Genocide*. New Haven: Yale University Center for International and Area Studies.

Straus, Scott. 2006. *The Order of Genocide: Race, Power, and War in Rwanda*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, pp. 17-40.

SEPTEMBER 30TH -- Conflict analysis frameworks

Swiss Agency for Development (SDC). 2005. Conflict Analysis Tools

OCTOBER 2nd -- Putting Conflict Analysis Tools to Work

Film to be announced

Mini-assignment A: Come to class with your best conflict analysis tool (paper copy), modeled after the examples from last week's SDC reading. Please also bring one paragraph explaining the logic of your tool and strategy for conflict analysis. During the class, we will watch a film and use your conflict analysis tool to analyze the conflict. *Hand in your completed tool at the end of class*.

OCTOBER 7TH -- The consequences of conflict

Collier, Paul et al. (2003). *Breaking the Conflict Trap: Civil War and Development Policy*. Washington: The World Bank, 53-78. (online:

 $http://books.google.com/books?id=NkzJO_84_x0C\&printsec=frontcover\&dq=breaking+the+conflict+trap+paul+collier\&source=bl\&ots=J1CH3Ho-lines.pdf$

QX&sig=VfAJXwNshwCoJoXUi3sT9A_ZBQg&hl=en&ei=iIiFS9v2GpPWNvWYqDQ&sa=X &oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CAkQ6AEwAA#v=twopage&q=&f=false)

$OCTOBER\ 9^{TH}-Refugees$

Malek, Alia. (2019). *To Stay or to Flee: A Syrian Mother's Impossible Choice*. The New York Times Magazine, May 16.

Rawlence, Ben. (2016). City of Thorns: Nine Lives in the World's Largest Refugee Camp, excerpt.

OCTOBER 14th – no class – university closed

OCTOBER 15th: Note that this is a "Monday" per the university, but we will not be formally meeting.

OCTOBER 16^{TH} – The impact of wartime military service on the families of US service members

Guest: Prof. Kathrine Sullivan

Bio: https://socialwork.nyu.edu/our-faculty/full-time/kathrine-sullivan.html

MacDermid Wadsworth, Shelley M. 2010. "Family Risk and Resilience in the Context of War and Terrorism," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 72: 537-556.

OCTOBER 21ST -- Humanitarian aid, peace and conflict

Anderson, Mary B. 1999. *Do No Harm: How Aid Can Support Peace – Or War*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner. Chapter 5, pp. 55-66.

Orbinski, James. 2009. *An Imperfect Offering: Humanitarian Action in the Twenty-First Century*. Toronto: Anchor House, pp. 3-15, 397-401.

(I also recommend pp.77-126 for a first-hand account of an effort to provide medical aid during the war in Somalia).

First POV paper due to NYU Classes prior to class

OCTOBER 23RD – Simulation: Peacemaker Game

Facilitated by PACS Leader

Background reading TBA

Mini-Assignment B: Play the Peace Maker Game. Write and submit a one to two page response detailing what you learned through the experience about peace making in Israel and Palestine?

What are your thoughts on games themselves as a peacemaking tool?

http://www.peacemakergame.com/

Due: October 28th prior to class

OCTOBER 28TH – — Working in the field of peace and conflict

Mini-Assignment C: Complete the online UN field safety test that anyone going on a UN-sponsored mission has to complete prior to going to the field. Upload your certificate of completion to NYU Classes.

Due: November 4th prior to class

OCTOBER 30TH - Gender and Peacebuilding

Guest: Anne-Marie Goetz

Bio: https://wp.nyu.edu/sps-nyuglobalcitizen/full-time-faculty/anne-marie-goetz/

O'Reilly, Marie, Andrea O' Suilleabhain, and Thania Paffenholz. 2017. *Reimagining Peacemaking: Women's Roles in Peace Processes*. New York: International Peace Institute. Available at: https://www.ipinst.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/IPI-E-pub-Reimagining-Peacemaking-rev.pdf

NOVEMBER 4TH – Working in Peace and Conflict

TBA

NOVEMBER 6TH -- Peacebuilding

Carlin, John. 2009. *Playing the Enemy: Nelson Mandela and the Game that Made a Nation*. New York: Penguin Books (brief excerpts)

Ghali, Boutros-Boutros. 1992. An Agenda for Peace

Leymah Gbowee. 2011. Mighty be Our Powers, Mighty Be Our Powers: How Sisterhood, Prayer, and Sex Changed a Nation at War, excerpts.

NOVEMBER 11TH -- Peacebuilding Part II

Paris, Roland. 1997. Peacebuilding and the Limits of Liberal Internationalism. *International Security*. Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 54-89

King, Elisabeth. 2018. "What Kenyan Youth Want and Why it Matters for Peace." *African Studies Review* 61(1): 134–157.

Autesserre, Severine. 2015. To solve mass violence, look to locals (Ted Talk, video).

Graybill, Lyn and Kimberly Lanegran. (2004). "Truth, Justice and Reconciliation in Africa", *African Studies Quarterly*, 8(1): 1-18. (online: http://www.africa.ufl.edu/asq/v8/v8i1a1.pdf)

NOVEMBER 13TH -- -- Nuclear Non-Proliferation -- Class visit to the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs

Group's advanced meeting time outside UN TBA Guest: Christopher King

United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs. 2018. *Securing Our Common* Future: An Agenda for Disarmament. Available at: https://front.un-arm.org/documents/SG+disarmament+agenda_1.pdf

**please read part I (overarching themes of the department we will visit and the current context) and part II (Disarmament to Save Humanity, relates to the elimination of WMD).

NOVEMBER 18TH – Education, peace and conflict

Guests: International Education graduate students

King, Elisabeth. 2011. "The Multiple Relationships between Education and Conflict: Reflections of Rwandan Teachers and Students." In Karen Mundy and Sarah Dryden-Peterson (editors), *Educating Children in Conflict Zones: A Tribute to Jackie Kirk*, New York: Teachers College Press.

NOVEMBER 20TH – Arts-Based Interventions

Guest: Joe Salvatore

Bio: https://steinhardt.nyu.edu/faculty/Joe Salvatore

Readings TBA

NOVEMBER 25TH– Scenario: What is the pathway towards peace?

Russell, Paul. 2012. "Fixing the Middle East, in 75 words or fewer". National Post. Available at: http://news.nationalpost.com/full-comment/todays-letters-fixing-the-middle-east-in-75-words-or-fewer

Current reading TBA

Mini-assignment D: Pathways to Peace. Let's do the same for a current conflict, to be announced. Submit your 75 words to NYU Classes *prior to class* and come ready to discuss.

NOVEMBER 27TH – NO CLASS – UNIVERSITY CLOSED Happy Thanksgiving!

DECEMBER 2^{ND -} Simulation Part I

Facilitated by PACS Leader

Mini-Assignment E: Details to be announced.

DECEMBER 4TH – Simulation Part II

Facilitated by PACS Leader

DECEMBER 9TH — Researching and Working in Peace & Conflict TBA

DECEMBER 11TH -- Wrap-Up Discussion and Review

Second POV paper due to NYU Classes prior to class

EXAM – DATE TO BE ANNOUNCED

GRADING SCHEMA DETAILS

A: Outstanding Students who earn an A for class participation are consistently present and prepared for class, synthesize course materials, contribute insightfully and analytically, listen well to others, and generally move the discussion forward and are actively engaged each class.

For written work, an "A" applies to outstanding student writing. A grade of "A" indicates not simply a command of material and excellent presentation (spelling, grammar, organization, writing style, etc.), but also sustained intellectual engagement with the material. This engagement takes such forms as shedding original light on the material, investigating patterns and connections, posing questions, and raising issues. An "A" paper is excellent in nearly all respects:

- It is well argued and well organized, with a clear thesis
- It is well developed with content that is specific, interesting, appropriate, and convincing
- It has logical transitions that contribute to a fluent style of writing
- It has few, if any, mechanical, grammatical, spelling, or diction errors
- It demonstrates command of a mature, unpretentious diction

B: Good Students who earn a B for class participation generally contribute consistently and thoughtfully and listen well to other but may be less consistent in their participation and/or their presence in class and may be less likely to move discussion forward with their contributions.

On written assignments, a "B" is given to work of high quality that reflects a command of the material and a strong presentation but lacks sustained intellectual engagement with the material. A "B" paper shares most characteristics of an "A" paper, but

- It may have some minor weaknesses in its argumentation
- It may have some minor lapses in organization and development
- It may contain some sentence structures that are awkward or ineffective
- It may have minor mechanical, grammatical, or diction problems
- It may be less distinguished in its use of language

C: Adequate Students who earn a C for class participation do not contribute regularly and may be absent from class regularly and/or their contributions to class discussion are often tangential and unclear and they do not listen well to others.

Written work receiving a "C" is of fair overall quality but exhibits a lack of intellectual engagement as well as either deficiencies in the student's command of the material or problems with presentation. A "C" paper is generally competent; it is the average performance. Compared to a "B" paper,

- It may have a weaker thesis and less effective development.
- It may have serious shortcomings in its argumentation
- It may contain some lapses in organization
- It may have poor or awkward transitions

- It may have less varied sentence structures that tend toward monotony
- It may have more mechanical, grammatical, and diction problems

D: Unsatisfactory Students who earn a D for class participation have spotty attendance, come to class unprepared, and make comments that are off-topic. On written work, the grade of "D" indicates significant problems with the student's work, such as a shallow understanding of the material or poor writing.

- It presents no clear thesis
- It displays major organizational problems
- It lacks adequate support for its thesis
- It includes irrelevant details
- It includes confusing transitions or lacks transitions altogether
- It fails to fulfill the assignment
- It contains ungrammatical or poorly constructed sentences and/or demonstrates problems with spelling, punctuation, diction or syntax, which impedes understanding

F: Failed Students who earn an F for class participation also have spotty attendance, come to class unprepared, fail to participate, demonstrate lack of engagement, and might create a hostile environment in the classroom. On written work, an "F" is given when a student fails to demonstrate an adequate understanding of the material, fails to address the exact topic of a question or assignment, fails to follow the directions in an assignment, or fails to hand in an assignment.

NOTE: Pluses (e.g., B+) indicate that the paper is especially strong on some, but not all, of the criteria for that letter grade. Minuses (e.g., C-) indicate that the paper is missing some, but not all, of the criteria for that letter grade. **

This rubric is borrowed from Prof. Lisa Stulberg and adapted from those developed by Prof. Fabienne Doucet and Prof. Helen Nissenbaum, NYU Steinhardt.